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INTRODUCTION
Complications related to the delivery of anaesthesia are inevitable. The source of most anaesthetic complications is human error and is often in association with poor monitoring.

Critical incidents and accidents:
- Human error (70%)
- Equipment failure (13%)
- Organizational error

(Clarke & Hall 1990) stated that 1/679 (0.15%) healthy dogs and cats died primarily as a result of anaesthesia. Most of these deaths were attributed to the fact that the patient was not under close observation.

The risk of anaesthetic and sedation-related death in healthy patients (ASA 1–2) is currently estimated to be 0.05% and 0.11% in dogs and cats, respectively. Overall, 0.17% and 0.24% in dogs and cats respectively. 47% of dogs and nearly 61% of cats die during the postoperative period (mainly within 3h of termination of the procedure). Presumably, when patients were left unattended and unmonitored (Brodbelt et al. 2008). It has been postulated that causes such as airway problems, either intra-operative or post-operative, may have been implicated (Cooper 2007)
Cats (ASA 1–2) have a two-fold higher risk of death than dogs. Postulated reasons include:

- Poorer preoperative assessment where more cats are misclassified as healthy when harbouring significant disease or anaesthetic-related death.
- Due to the generally smaller size they are more prone to hypothermia, predisposing to prolonged recoveries and increased morbidity (Waterman 1981; Dhupa 1995; Kurz et al. 1996).
- The reduced size could also predispose to overdosing of anaesthetics administered, particularly in patients that were not weighed.
- Endotracheal intubation
- Fluid therapy have been associated with increased risk of death in cats but not dogs (Brodbelt et al. 2006; Brodbelt et al. 2007). The technique is more difficult and laryngospasm or trauma more likely in cats than dogs, pre-disposing to perioperative complications (Hofmeister et al. 2007). It is also worrying that we have suboptimal airway management, as it has been found with trainee human anaesthetists (Whymark et al. 2006).
(Redondo et al. 2007) studied the incidence of complications during general anaesthesia in 1281 dogs:

- Hypoventilation (63.4%)
- Hypotension (37.9%)
- Bradycardia (36.3%)
- Hypoxia (16.4%)
- Hypothermia (4.8%)

Recent studies evaluating deaths where anaesthesia played a contributory role in human anaesthesia, documented risks of ~0.005–0.02% (Tikkanen & Hovi-Viander 1995; Eagle & Davis 1997; Suan et al. 1997; Biboulet et al. 2001; Kawashima et al. 2003). The introduction of modern instrumental monitors has halved the number of intraoperative cardiac arrests (Keenan et al. 1991). 52% of incidents were detected first by a monitor (Webb et al. 1993). A combination of pulse oximetry, capnography and blood pressure should detect 93% of serious incidents.

**INSTRUMENTAL MONITORING**

It is not primarily a warning system to alert the anesthetist! Monitoring is used as part of a complex feed-back control system to keep the patient in a safe “physiological envelope” (Young & Griffiths 2006).
DECREASING ANAESTHETIC MORBIDITY AND MORTALITY: RISK ANALYSIS AND MONITORING
Luis Campoy LV CertVA DipECVAA MRCVS

ACVA Monitoring Guidelines Update, 2009

Recommendations for monitoring anesthetized veterinary patients

Position Statement
This shift toward minimizing anesthetic morbidity has been facilitated by more objective definition and earlier detection of pathophysiologic conditions such as hypotension, hypoxemia and severe hypercapnia. This has resulted from the incorporation of newer monitoring modalities by skilled attentive personnel during anesthesia.

However, as the standard of veterinary care advances and client expectations expand, revised guidelines are necessary to reflect the importance of vigilant monitoring. The goal of the ACVA guidelines is to improve the level of anesthesia care for veterinary patients. Frequent and continuous monitoring and recording of vital signs in the peri-anesthetic period by trained personnel and the intelligent use of various monitors are requirements for advancing the quality of anesthesia care of veterinary patients.


